

## BELL'S THEOREM:

Conflict between quantum mechanics  
and principle "nothing faster than light"

Several steps - Surprises:

do not dwell on one  
trust me and come back to it later

## HISTORICAL APPROACH

End of 19th century: physics fitted conventional thinking  
{"quiet waters"}

Then came splashes

Bell's theorem = #4

Before #1: Michelson Morley experiment:

frames in motion

but same value measured for speed of light

→ Lorentz relativity:

aether, measuring sticks contracted, clocks slowing down

→ invariance → restframe of aether unknown, but it exists

no problem with faster than light influences,

Two events A and B: A before B in aether frame

A can influence B even faster than light

in some restframes: A before B in some frame,

in others: A after B

But only the aether restframe counts

Splash #1 Einstein's relativity:

aether restframe cannot be determined → it does not exist

→ all restframes are equal

→ no backward in time influence in any restframe

→ no influence faster than light

Then came QUANTUM MECHANICS:

Principle: measurement changes an observed object:

→ Uncertainty principle:

cannot know both  $x$  et  $p$  of an object exactly.

One of them remains hidden

Splash #2: Bohr et al: both  $x$  and  $p$  cannot exist simultaneously

with infinite precision

particle described by wave function  $\Psi$

$\Psi$  = property of particle + knowledge about it

no reality associated with  $\Psi$ , till something is measured

$x$  only exists when  $x$  is observed

$p$  -----  $p$  -----

Already A conflict with relativity:

After measurement of  $x$ :  $\Psi$  collapses everywhere instantaneously

OK because  $\Psi$  not "real"

Yet Einstein is not convinced:

"I believe the moon exists even when I do not look at it"

Measurement = discovery + transformation of reality

→ "Hidden variables"

### Splash # 3. Einstein Podolsky & Rosen (EPR) paradox (1935)

Two particles a et b:

$x_a$  and  $x_b$ , associated to  $X_a$  et  $X_b$ ,  $p_a$  et  $p_b$ , associés à  $P_a$  et  $P_b$ :

$X_a - X_b$  commute with  $P_a + P_b$ ,

→  $\exists$  eigenvector of  $X_a - X_b$  and of  $P_a + P_b$  at the same time

= fonction d'onde  $\Psi = \delta(x_a - x_b - k) = \delta(p_a + p_b) \exp\{i k p_a\}$

if I measure  $x_a$  and  $x_b$ , then  $x_a - x_b = k = \text{known value}$

-----  $p_a$  and  $p_b$  -----  $p_a + p_b = 0$

→ if I measure  $x_a$ , I know I will find  $x_b = x_a - k$  before measurement of  $x_b$ ,

$\exists$  something attached to particle b with property: →  $x_b = x_a - k$

no excuse not to have it in the theory (EPR: element of reality corresponding to  $x_b$ )

Was it created by measurement of  $x_a$ ? No it would require a faster than light influence

→ element of reality corresponding to given  $x_b$  before any measurement that value of  $x_b$  not described by  $\Psi$ : "quantum mechanics is incomplete"

There is more: same argument for  $p_b$  :

if i measure  $p_a$  then  $p_b$  , I know I will find  $p_b = -p_a$

$\exists$  something attached to particle b:  $\rightarrow p_b = -p_a$  (element of reality corresponding to  $p_b$ )  
element of reality created by measurement of  $p_a$ ? No it would require a faster than light influence

$\rightarrow$  before any measurement,

$\exists$  one element of reality determining a value for  $p_b$  and one determining  $x_b$

*Argument OK if there is no influence faster than light*

Anyway  $\Psi$  before any measurement cannot describe these elements of reality

EPR: "Quantum mechanics is incomplete"

Bohr: difficult answer to understand  $\rightarrow$  my interpretation:

sentences he used: concept of reality should be changed

no quantity can be defined without specifying the measurement apparatus  $\rightarrow$  old belief there is an "influence" not a not a "mechanical disturbance",

$\rightarrow$  Speeds  $> c$ , are they OK for influences?

That was 1935: *Unresolved controversy*

1952: Bohm: invents a hidden variables theory that reproduces predictions of quantum mechanics

his theory involves faster than light effects,  
→ motivated by EPR, but does not resolve the conflict

demonstrated by EPR

invents same system later used to demonstrate Bell's theorem

Splash #4: 1964, Bell theorem:

Quantum mechanics implies faster than light influences  
even if there is an underlying reality

→ EPR wrong because premise in conflict with quantum mechanics

Demonstration has evolved and became easy

It uses Bohm's: two photon system, emitted in opposite directions

i.e.

a pair of two photons both with vertical polarizations V V and

----- slanted ----- both at angle  $\gamma$

in quantum superposition:  $\Psi = \Psi_{VV} + \Psi_{\gamma\gamma}$  (entanglement)



Conclusion:

For pairs of interest:

when Alice's Kerr cell is off: probability of Bob's photon not reduced by turning Bob's cell on  
and ----- on: ----- becomes zero -----

or

when Bob's Kerr cell is off: probability for Alice's photon not reduced by turning Alice's cell on  
and ----- on: ----- becomes zero -----

Either  
Alice's Kerr cell influences Bob's photon probability  
or  
Bob's Kerr cell influences Alice's photon probability

Either way:  $\exists$  influence of a Kerr cell somewhere over photon somewhere else

if experimental setup:

both Kerr cell decisions and both photon arrivals in short time interval:  
then Influence faster than light



1. Are these quantum mechanics predictions correct? - Do it yourself:  
source of two photons with a pair of photons with both polarizations lined up vertically  
+ a pair of photons with both polarizations slanted at an angle  $\gamma$   
Both polarizers are horizontal  
Kerr cells turn the polarizations by an angle equal to  $90^\circ - \gamma$

Set up amplitudes and phases of the two kinds of pairs of photons to interfere 100% destructively for double clicks in the on-on setup.

2. What has been checked experimentally? - Everything but with some loopholes

What are Bell's inequalities? - Fundamental one is:

"The number of pairs producing double clicks in off-off setup but not in the on-on setup are part of the sample of pairs that produce a click in Alice's counter but not in Bob's in the off-on setup or a click in Bob's counter but in not in Alice's in the on-off setup"

It can be derived from the same argument as our demonstration:

3. Can one use this effect to communicate faster than light? - NO.

No other

quantum system either

# SIGNIFICANCE

Different people have different conclusions.

- 1) A special different from others  
in which time coincides with causal order  
e.g. Lorentz relativity
- 2) "Reality" depends of the observer
- 3) Quantum mechanics does not apply to "counterfactuals"
- 4) All invariances are broken. - Look for violations  
Geneva's experiment

*Is it necessary that everyone agrees?*